

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/664,226 09/18/2000 Ge Li 11951-002001 4280 26161 7590 09/26/2003 FISH & RICHARDSON PC EXAMINER 225 FRANKLIN ST AKERS, GEOFFREY R BOSTON, MA 02110 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 3624 DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	
Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)
	09/664226 61
	Examples Art Unit 3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	r TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	-1 /
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9/18/00
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) (Claim(s) /-5 2	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	
6) (-52)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/at	re a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	gill om with the field the
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application No.1 <u>1918 (1911) 15 (1911) 15 (1911)</u> 15 (1911)
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisio	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	
1) Anotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/664226 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-52 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 27-52 are rejected under 35 USC 101 for failing to provide a concrete, useful and tangible output.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specificity in the independent claims is required to present the claimed unique features of the disclosure vis a vis the prior art. These claims are too broad and read on any auction service.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/664226

Art Unit: 3624

6. Claims 1-52 are also rejected under 35 USC as unpatentable over Gallien" Optimuization

Based Auctions and Stochastic Assembly Replenishment Policies for Industrial Production"(June

2000) and further in view of Barzilai (US Pat. No: 6,012,045).

7. As per claims 1-52 Gallien teaches an optimization based auction system(p 13-102) including

market constraints and buyer constraints and seller constraints(p 32-48) and buyer behavioral

models(p 55 et seq). Gallien further teaches optimality conditions for acquisition(Chapter 5)

including items to be supplied. Although Gallien does not specifically teach an electronic

auction, Barzilai teaches an electronic auction mechanism and also teaches setting a minimum bid

price for all items(col 12 lines 1-4) in a bundling mechanism at the same time(col 11 lines 37-col

12 line 4) which reprices the items as well as adds items for auction(Fig 5) and whereby the

auction terminates at a maximum price the bidder is willingto pay. It would have been obvious to

one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Godin in view of Barzilai to teach

the above. The motivation to combine is to teach an electronic bid, auction and sale system

utilizing optimality conditions and constraints wherein a computer system establishes an

electronic display of the products and services offered for sale as well as the manufacturer's retail

price, minimum opening bid price and bid cycle data which enables the customer to view the

product and place a bid or a number of bids to purchase the product as enunciated by Barzilai(col

1 lines 59-67).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/664226 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

9. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

September 7,2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER